



(505) Entrepreneurship (S | PS)

CONTESTANT NUMBER _____

RATING SHEET – COMPLETE ONE PER CONTESTANT

PRESENTATION SCORE

Judge 1 (130 points) _____

Judge 2 (130 points) _____

Judge 3 (130 points) _____

Total Judges' Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE PRESENTATION SCORE _____ (130 maximum)

TECHNICAL SCORE

Judge 1 (190 points) _____

Judge 2 (190 points) _____

Judge 3 (190 points) _____

Total Judges Points _____

Divided by # of Judges _____

AVERAGE TECHNICAL SCORE _____ (190 maximum)

TOTAL SCORE _____ (320 maximum)

RANK



(505) Entrepreneurship

Judge Number _____

Member ID _____

Presentation Scoring Rubric

Evaluation of Oral Presentation	Below Average (1-5)	Average (6-10)	Good (11-15)	Excellent (16-20)	Points Awarded
Content	The presentation demonstrates a limited understanding of the topic and includes mostly irrelevant or inaccurate information.	The presentation demonstrates a basic understanding of the topic and includes a mix of relevant and irrelevant information.	The presentation demonstrates a good understanding of the topic and includes mostly relevant and accurate information.	The presentation demonstrates an in-depth understanding of the topic and includes relevant and accurate information.	
Organization and Structure	The presentation lacks coherence, and it is unclear how each team member's role contributes to the presentation. Transitions between speakers are awkward or absent.	The presentation content has some gaps, and it is not always clear how each team member's role contributes to the presentation. Transitions between speakers are choppy.	The presentation content flows adequately, and each team member's role is mostly clear and integrated into the presentation. Transitions between speakers are mostly smooth.	The presentation content flows smoothly, and each team member's role is clearly defined and integrated into the presentation. Transitions between speakers are seamless.	
Delivery and Engagement	Speakers rarely maintain eye contact, use minimal gestures and body language, or have significant inconsistencies in tone and pace.	Speakers occasionally break eye contact, use limited gestures and body language, or have some inconsistencies in tone and pace.	Speakers maintain good eye contact, use appropriate gestures and body language, and vary their tone and pace adequately.	Speakers maintain eye contact, use appropriate gestures and body language, and vary their tone and pace effectively.	
Answers to Judges' Questions	Responses lack depth and fail to demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the topic.	Some responses lack detail or clarity. The understanding of the topic is partially demonstrated.	Responses are mostly clear, concise, and accurate.	Responses are detailed, insightful, and effectively address the judges' inquiries.	
Supporting Documentation	The team does not provide supporting documentation or the documentation is incomplete, inaccurate, or irrelevant.	The team provides some supporting documentation, but it lacks organization or relevance.	The team provides adequate supporting documentation that is generally organized and relevant to the presentation.	The team provides comprehensive and well-organized supporting documentation that is highly relevant to the presentation.	
Set-up lasted no longer than three (3) minutes - 5 points					
Presentation lasted no less than five (5) minutes or no more than seven (7) minutes - 5 points					
Documentation submitted at time of check-in: Marketing (1 copy) and Works Cited (1 copy) – 10 points					
TOTAL PRESENTATION POINTS (110 points maximum)					

Props and/or additional items shall not be used as a basis for scoring.

TOTAL MAXIMUM POINTS = 330

Property of Business Professionals of America

May be reproduced only for use in the Business Professionals of America

Workplace Skills Assessment Program competition.

PRESENTATION WILL BE STOPPED AT TEN (10) MINUTES

